

ATLAS SILVER THEORIES

Copyright and full legal protection

This document, including its entire text, structure, and ideas, is fully protected by copyright law, by its ethical and symbolic vision, and by the Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license.

No one is authorized to copy, modify, adapt, imitate, translate, combine, or use this work, in whole or in part, at any formal, conceptual, structural, symbolic, linguistic, or thematic level.

Any human or artificial attempt to reproduce or simulate the theoretical, stylistic, or narrative structure of this work is strictly prohibited.

Only brief literal quotations are permitted, provided they are accompanied by full acknowledgment of the author and a clear reference to the official DOI.

Extension clause

This legal notice applies to all works, texts, and materials created by the author (past, present, and future), at any stage of development or publication.

It constitutes an inseparable part of the author's intellectual production and may not be reproduced, modified, adapted, or used in any context, in whole or in part, without written authorization.

This extension clause has declarative and preventive value against any improper use, including attempts at simulation or reproduction by artificial intelligence systems.

Section I – Cognitive and psychological frameworks

1. **Theory of tacit assumptions**
2. **Theory of implicit essentialism**

Section II – Educational and social structures

1. **Theory of the motivational cycle**
2. **Theory of cyclical exclusion**
3. **Theory of social transmission**
4. **Theory of the threshold**
5. **Theory of creative simplicity**
6. **Theory of peripheral rebirth**

Section III – Communicative and relational fields

1. **Theory of the custody of silence**
2. **Theory of digital silence**
3. **Theory of the half-open door**
4. **Theory of the glass of water**
5. **Theory of the relational tool**

Section IV – Existential dimensions

1. **Theory of the existential container**

Section I – Cognitive and psychological frameworks

1. **Theory of tacit assumptions**
2. **Theory of implicit essentialism**

1. Theory of tacit assumptions

Introduction

Everyday life rests on unspoken premises: we believe that the external world is stable, that bread will still be edible the next day, that the clock will continue to mark time faithfully. No one explicitly formulates this theory, yet it supports every gesture, every movement, every decision. Philosophy has evoked the dimension of the tacit, and sociology has captured fragments of it, but no one has codified silent assumption as a theoretical system.

Sequence

- there exists an external world perceived as stable, where causality and rules appear immutable.
- driving a car, buying a product, or trusting a clock are simple acts based on implicit trust that is not verified in real time.
- twentieth-century philosophy touched on this theme without proposing it as an autonomous and universal theory.
- naming these assumptions means revealing the hidden pillars of existence, making it possible to question and transform them.

Symbolic Formula

$$\ll \phi_2 = \kappa(\Sigma \tau_{\text{silent}} \cdot \delta_{\text{stability}}) \rightarrow \text{FOOC Seal } \beta : \text{Tacit assumptions} \rr$$

Conclusion

Tacit assumptions are not marginal ingenuities but the invisible foundation of every social and mental order. To formalize them as theory means recognizing two conditions: humanity lives suspended upon premises not verified in real time, and such suspension constitutes the condition of both freedom and risk. The theory of tacit assumptions transforms the obvious into awareness, offering research and education a critical key to question what usually remains in the background.

2. Theory of implicit essentialism

Introduction

Human beings tend to believe that behind every phenomenon lies an immutable essence: the artist is thought to be born as such, the leader predestined, and nature to have engraved destinies irreversibly. This ancestral belief rarely appears as an explicit theory but acts as a cognitive automatism that guides judgments, expectations, and behaviors. Psychology has recognized essentialism as a bias, and philosophy has discussed it as an ancient illusion, yet there is still no codification that elevates it to an autonomous theory. Implicit essentialism thus becomes the silent force that structures personal and social visions.

Sequence

- every quality is traced back to a fixed and immutable essence, as in the conviction that a leader is born and cannot be formed.
- expressions such as “born an artist” or “that’s just how he is” reveal the linguistic root of this belief.
- psychological research has described individual essentialist beliefs without proposing a unified model that recognizes their full scope.
- formalizing Essentialism means unmasking the illusion of fixed destinies and opening spaces for flexibility, education, and transformation.

Symbolic Formula

$$\ll \phi_3 = \varepsilon_0 / (\mu^\infty + \Delta\psi_{\text{evolution}}) \rightarrow \text{FOOC Seal } \gamma : \text{Implicit essentialism} \gg$$

Conclusion

Implicit essentialism is an invisible mental boundary that reduces the complexity of life to presumed immutable essences. Its codification acknowledges the universality of this mechanism and restores freedom to thought: the self, relationships, and societies are not fixed blocks but open, renewable, and ever-evolving processes. The theory thus transforms an ancient illusion into a critical object and an opportunity for cognitive emancipation.

Section II – Educational and social structures

1. **Theory of the motivational cycle**
2. **Theory of cyclical exclusion**
3. **Theory of social transmission**
4. **Theory of the threshold**
5. **Theory of creative simplicity**
6. **Theory of peripheral rebirth**

1. Theory of the motivational cycle

Introduction

Motivation represents one of the vital cores of education, psychology, and pedagogy. Various authors have analyzed fragments of this phenomenon: self-determination with Deci and Ryan, self-efficacy with Bandura, and the effect of expectations with Rosenthal and Jacobson. What is missing is a comprehensive representation that shows motivation as a cycle capable of self-sustaining and regenerating itself. The theory of the motivational cycle proposes a unified codification in which motivation appears as a recursive process that supports resilience, commitment, and learning.

Sequence

- the intrinsic or extrinsic drive that initiates the process.
- the belief in one's ability to overcome difficulties, according to the principle of self-efficacy.
- the concrete action sustained by the social context and the zone of proximal development.
- the positive result that acts as an immediate reinforcement.
- the cognitive adaptation that consolidates confidence and prepares the next cycle.

Symbolic Formula

$$\ll \phi_6 = \sigma \cdot \alpha \cdot \rho \cdot \lambda \cup \rightarrow \text{FOOC Seal } \zeta : \text{Motivational cycle} \rrbracket$$

Conclusion

Motivation is not reduced to an isolated spark but configured as a circuit that continually regenerates itself: stimulus, perception, commitment, success, and reinforcement intertwine as links of a living chain. The formalization of this process transforms what often appears obvious into critical theory, allowing education to be conceived not as a mere accumulation of notions but as a science of resilience and regeneration.

2. Theory of cyclical exclusion

Introduction

Social exclusion is not an isolated episode but a self-sustaining process. Stigma, categorization, marginality, and deviance do not merely describe individual conditions; they contribute to constructing a circuit that traps people at the margins. Goffman highlighted the weight of stigma, Becker revealed the function of categorization, and Bourdieu analyzed the reproduction of inequalities. The theory of cyclical exclusion arises to unify these contributions and make visible how society, in its attempt to classify, ends up perpetuating its own exclusions.

Sequence

- society assigns the individual a marginal role.
- the individual incorporates the stigma and integrates it into their identity.
- the self, shaped by rejection, withdraws from social participation.
- structures reaffirm distance and consolidate inequality.
- the cycle renews itself with increasing intensity.

Symbolic Formula

$$\ll \phi_8 = \sigma \cdot \Delta d \cdot \chi_{\text{cycle}}^n \rightarrow \text{FOOC Seal } \theta : \text{Cyclical exclusion} \rrbracket$$

Conclusion

Social exclusion manifests as a spiral that reproduces itself: categorization produces internalization, internalization generates self-exclusion, and the latter reinforces social structures, predisposing new categorizations. Its codification allows us to recognize that the different social levels are not occasional incidents but self-referential systems. Understanding the mechanism becomes the first step toward breaking the chain.

3. Theory of social transmission

Introduction

Every generation inherits from the previous one values, models, practices, and symbolic goods. The collective memory described by Halbwachs, the narrative studied by Bruner, the habitus analyzed by Bourdieu, the language of Vygotsky, and the social learning of Mead have revealed parts of this process. These contributions, though valuable, remain scattered like pieces of a mosaic never reassembled.

The theory of social transmission proposes a unified synthesis, representing the phenomenon as a circular model in which past experience becomes story, action, internalization, and new culture for future generations.

Sequence

- collective memory preserves lived experience as a root.
- storytelling transmits meaning and values.
- habitus translates values into everyday gestures.
- language mediates experience and transforms it into thought.
- social roles are learned and replicated.

Symbolic Formula

$$\ll \phi_9 = (v_value \cdot \lambda_lang \cdot \mu_memory) \cup \rightarrow \text{FOOC Seal } \iota : \text{Social transmission} \rrbracket$$

Conclusion

Culture is not transmitted as an inherited object but as a living cycle that regenerates itself: experience becomes narrative, narrative becomes practice, practice transforms into thought, and thought into role, which in turn generates new experience. Formalizing this process means recognizing that each generation is not a mere recipient of the past but a creative link in an infinite chain.

4. Theory of the threshold

Introduction

Every authentic change occurs by crossing a boundary that separates the known from the unknown. The threshold interrupts continuity and at the same time opens a passage toward the new. Turner interpreted it as liminality, Eliade as an experience of the sacred, and Bateson as a leap in the level of consciousness. The theory of the threshold seeks to unify these aspects, proposing the threshold as a key to understanding vital transitions, both individual and collective.

Sequence

- the threshold marks the separation from what was and the beginning of what will be.
- crossing it requires will and risk, as it involves abandoning familiar securities.
- no life progresses without thresholds, for every existential phase implies them.
- refusing the threshold means halting one's own growth.

Symbolic Formula

$$\ll \phi_{19} = (\Delta B_{\text{boundary}} \rightarrow \Psi_{\text{transition}}) / R_{\text{fear}} \rightarrow \text{FOOC Seal } \tau : \text{Threshold} \rrbracket$$

Conclusion

The threshold is both an end and a beginning, a passage that imposes loss yet promises rebirth. Its codification allows us to recognize that living means crossing boundaries and that every threshold is a test of freedom. To cross it is to choose the fullness of life over the inertia of habit.

5. Theory of creative simplicity

Introduction

Simplicity is not reduction or impoverishment, but a creative act that frees from excess and reveals the essential. Tolstoy experienced it as an inner search, Schumacher translated it into an economic vision, and De Benedetti showed its strength in everyday life. What is still missing is a formalization of simplicity understood not as an aesthetic ornament but as a principle of innovation and regeneration.

Sequence

- excess complicates, simplicity reveals what truly matters.
- freeing oneself from the superfluous generates new possibilities.
- simplicity is not random spontaneity but an act of choice and rigor.
- what appears minimal contains the totality of meaning.

Symbolic Formula

$$\ll \phi_{21} = (\nabla E_{\text{essence}} / \Sigma X_{\text{excess}}) \rightarrow \text{FOOC Seal } \phi : \text{Creative simplicity} \rr$$

Conclusion

Simplicity is the short path that opens to the infinite. It does not subtract but adds depth; it does not reduce but reveals. Its formalization recognizes simplicity as a matrix of creativity, an ethical foundation, and a guide for both communities and individuals.

6. Theory of peripheral rebirth

Introduction

Life is not reborn from the established center but from the forgotten margins, where uncorrupted energies are preserved and capable of regenerating the very heart of communities. Illich showed how institutional schooling stifles creativity, Mazzolari gave voice to the gospel as the power of the least, and Milani transformed the educational margin into a laboratory of freedom. Peripheral rebirth can therefore be recognized as a principle of social and spiritual renewal.

Sequence

- life regenerates in the least visible places.
- the margins preserve authentic vitality.
- what arises far from the center becomes a seed of transformation.
- communities renew themselves from below, not from above.

Symbolic Formula

$$\ll \phi_{23} = (E_{\text{margin}} \cdot R_{\text{hidden}}) / P_{\text{center}} \rightarrow \text{FOOC Seal } \psi : \text{Peripheral rebirth} \rrbracket$$

Conclusion

The periphery is a fragment of the future, where the center of life becomes unstable, shifting toward the margins where vital energy remains intact. Peripheral rebirth asserts that history is not decided in palaces but in the places where new voices are born.

Section III – Communicative and relational fields

1. **Theory of the custody of silence**
2. **Theory of digital silence**
3. **Theory of the half-open door**
4. **Theory of the glass of water**
5. **Theory of the relational tool**

1. Theory of the custody of silence

Introduction

Silence is not absence but a fertile womb that holds meaning, listening, and transformation. Spiritual, pedagogical, and communal experience has always recognized the value of keeping silent. Merton understood it as a condition of inner authenticity, Bonhoeffer as resistance, and Martini as a form of closeness. What is missing is a classification of silence as both a theory and the root of speech and relationship.

Sequence

- from silence are born authentic and necessary words.
- to remain silent allows the other to emerge in their own truth.
- silence is not emptiness but a space of invention.
- the word that arises from silence brings truth and new life.

Symbolic Formula

$$\ll \phi_{24} = (\Sigma S_{\text{silence}} \cdot \tau_{\text{listen}}) \rightarrow \partial W_{\text{truth}} \rightarrow \text{FOOC Seal } \omega : \text{Custody of silence} \rr$$

Conclusion

Guarded silence is a source of truth, for it interrupts the background noise, preparing the ground for encounter and transformation. Its codification highlights that only from conscious emptiness can be born the word that saves, that teaches, and that renews.

2. Theory of digital silence

Introduction

An unanswered message is not a neutral void but rather a communicative act with its own explicit language. In the age of social media and instant messaging, digital silence shapes relationships, expectations, and conflicts. Starting from the first axiom of communication, the theory of digital silence proposes to recognize silence as a social language endowed with its own meaning.

Sequence

- the lack of response is a precise signal between sender and receiver.
- chats, emails, and social networks show how digital silence produces expectations, tensions, and ruptures.
- nonverbal communication has been studied (Watzlawick, Beavin, Jackson), yet digital silence remains without formalization.
- elevating absence to active presence means recognizing silence as a social language.

Symbolic Formula

$$\ll \phi_{26} = (\Sigma M_{\text{unanswered}} \cdot C_{\text{context}}) \rightarrow \Delta R_{\text{meaning}} \rightarrow \text{FOOC Seal } \mathfrak{U} : \text{Digital silence} \rrbracket$$

Conclusion

Digital silence is not emptiness but a code that communicates desire, rejection, indifference, or control. Its codification allows us to understand that in the network era, true absence no longer exists; even silence becomes a sign with deep effects on personal and collective life.

3. Theory of the half-open door

Introduction

A half-open door is not merely an architectural detail but a boundary between intimacy and openness, suspending the dichotomy between public and private. Architecture describes doors as functional elements, yet it rarely grasps their value as an intermediate threshold. The theory of the half-open door proposes to interpret this simple gesture as a site of social meaning.

Sequence

- liminal space is not neutral but marks both tension and possibility.
- domestic rooms, offices, and public spaces show how a half-open door shapes the sense of relationship.
- rituals have revealed the power of thresholds, and sociology has studied social space, yet neither has formalized it as theory.
- reading the semi-open door as a sign means recognizing it as an invitation, a warning, or a promise.

Symbolic Formula

$$\ll \phi_{31} = (\Theta_{\text{threshold}} \cdot \Delta O_{\text{open}}) / B_{\text{barrier}} \rightarrow \text{FOOC Seal } \mathfrak{Z} : \text{Half-open door} \rrbracket$$

Conclusion

Every half-open door is a symbolic passage that communicates availability, possibility, or uncertainty. Its codification reveals that everyday life is imbued with liminality and with transitions that transform spaces into languages.

4. Theory of the glass of water

Introduction

Offering or receiving a glass of water is a minimal yet universal act, an elementary gesture that establishes hospitality, dignity, and mutual recognition. It is not merely the satisfaction of a physiological need but a social ritual that makes shared humanity visible. Derrida reflected on hospitality, and Illich on the cultural memory of water. The theory of the glass of water therefore proposes to formalize this gesture as a symbolic element of coexistence.

Sequence

- an elemental need transforms into a social ritual.
- homes, schools, hospitals, and workplaces show how a glass of water seals hospitality.
- hospitality has been studied in philosophy and sociology as one of the simplest and most radical forms.
- the glass of water becomes a universal symbol of shared humanity.

Symbolic Formula

$$\ll \phi_{32} = (H_{\text{host}} \cdot G_{\text{guest}} \cdot W_{\text{water}}) \rightarrow \Delta D_{\text{dignity}} \rightarrow \text{FOOC Seal } 1 : \text{Glass of water} \rrbracket$$

Conclusion

A glass of water quenches the body and restores a social pact between host and guest. Its theoretical classification affirms that humanity recognizes itself in the small daily acts that preserve each person's dignity.

5. Theory of the educational tool

Introduction

The trowel is a tool that spreads cement and fills cracks. The educator, like a tool, uses the substance of knowledge by regulating its diffusion: spreading, measuring, leveling, reducing fractures, and transforming connections. Dewey spoke of educational democracy, Bruner of the culture of learning, and Freire of liberating pedagogy, yet none described education itself as the tool of the educational act.

Sequence

- the trowel does not create mortar but determines its use; likewise, the educator transmits values and knowledge, dosing their measure.
- in teaching and training, the task is to transfer knowledge and, above all, to make it accessible and balanced.
- pedagogy has studied mediation but has rarely employed metaphors of the manual gesture of spreading and leveling.
- education is an equitable and creative distribution: without the right tool, knowledge remains an inert block and does not become relationship.

Symbolic Formula

$$\ll \phi_{36} = (K_{\text{know}} \cdot M_{\text{measure}} \cdot \Delta_{\text{spread}}) \rightarrow \Psi_{\text{equity}} \rightarrow \text{FOOC Seal } \top : \text{Educational tool} \rrbracket$$

Conclusion

The metaphor of the educational tool shows that knowledge is not a monolithic mass but a distributed, accessible binder. The educator is therefore the one who makes knowledge inhabitable by all.

Section IV – Existential dimensions

1. **Theory of the existential container**

1. Theory of the existential container

Introduction

The container is a simple yet indispensable tool: it carries liquids, utensils, and materials. It does not exist for itself but as a vehicle for what it holds. Likewise, existence is not defined only by what happens but by the capacity to hold and make use of it. Philosophy has reflected on meaning and content (Heidegger, Frankl, Camus) without extending its analysis to the metaphor of the container. This theory thus seeks to recognize this image as a conceptual representation of the human condition.

Sequence

- the container is defined not only by its content but by its capacity to hold it; life likewise is both weight and possibility.
- each day we fill and empty our symbolic container with commitments, memories, and emotions.
- philosophical thought has treated meaning and limit through its own languages.
- what defines the human being is not emptiness or fullness but the strength to carry what is entrusted to them.

Symbolic Formula

$$\ll \phi_{38} = (C_{\text{capacity}} \cdot W_{\text{weight}}) / R_{\text{resilience}} \rightarrow \text{FOOC Seal } \Theta : \text{Existential container} \rr$$

Conclusion

The existential container highlights both the importance of what we possess and our ability to face life without breaking. Its codification as a theory asserts that human dignity is measured not only by possession but by the resilience with which one bears the weight of existence. It also affirms that the use of metaphors can help calibrate direction and grasp more deeply the meaning of being.

Bibliography

Theory of tacit assumptions

- Polanyi, M. (1966). *The tacit dimension*. Garden City, NY: Doubleday.
- Wittgenstein, L. (1953). *Philosophical investigations*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Schütz, A. (1967). *The phenomenology of the social world*. Evanston, IL: Northwestern University Press.

Theory of implicit essentialism

- Medin, D. L., & Ortony, A. (1989). *Psychological essentialism*. In S. Vosniadou & A. Ortony (Eds.), *Similarity and analogical reasoning* (pp. 179–195). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gelman, S. A. (2003). *The essential child: Origins of essentialism in everyday thought*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Haslam, N., Rothschild, L., & Ernst, D. (2000). *Essentialist beliefs about social categories*. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 39(1), 113–127.

Theory of the motivational cycle

- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. New York: Freeman.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. New York: Plenum.
- Rosenthal, R., & Jacobson, L. (1968). *Pygmalion in the classroom*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Skinner, B. F. (1953). *Science and human behavior*. New York: Free Press.
- Vygotskij, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Theory of cyclical exclusion

- Becker, H. S. (1963). *Outsiders: Studies in the sociology of deviance*. New York: Free Press.
- Bourdieu, P. (1984). *Distinction: A social critique of the judgement of taste*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Goffman, E. (1963). *Stigma: Notes on the management of spoiled identity*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Mead, G. H. (1934). *Mind, self, and society*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Theory of social transmission

- Bourdieu, P. (1977). *Outline of a theory of practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bruner, J. (1990). *Acts of meaning*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Halbwachs, M. (1992). *On collective memory*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Mead, G. H. (1934). *Mind, self, and society*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Vygotskij, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Theory of the threshold

- Bateson, G. (1972). *Steps to an ecology of mind*. New York: Ballantine.
- Eliade, M. (1957). *The sacred and the profane*. New York: Harcourt.
- Turner, V. (1969). *The ritual process: Structure and anti-structure*. Chicago: Aldine.

Theory of creative simplicity

- Schumacher, E. F. (1973). *Small is beautiful: Economics as if people mattered*. London: Blond & Briggs.
- Tolstoj, L. (1882). *Confessioni*. Mosca.
- De Benedetti, T. (2005). *L'arte della semplicità*. Milano: Ponte alle Grazie.

Theory of peripheral rebirth

- Illich, I. (1971). *Deschooling society*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Mazzolari, D. (1949). *Tu non uccidere*. Firenze: Vallecchi.
- Milani, L. (1967). *Lettera a una professoressa*. Firenze: Libreria Editrice Fiorentina.

Theory of the custody of silence

- Bonhoeffer, D. (1951). *Widerstand und Ergebung*. München: Chr. Kaiser.
- Martini, C. M. (1980). *Farsi prossimo*. Milano: Centro Ambrosiano.
- Merton, T. (1955). *No man is an island*. New York: Harcourt.

Theory of digital silence

- Castells, M. (2001). *The Internet galaxy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Turkle, S. (2015). *Reclaiming conversation: The power of talk in a digital age*. New York:

Penguin.

Watzlawick, P., Beavin, J., & Jackson, D. (1967). *Pragmatica della comunicazione umana*. Roma: Astrolabio.

Theory of the half-open door

Simmel, G. (1909). *Philosophische Kultur. Saggi sullo spazio*. Leipzig: Duncker & Humblot.

Turner, V. (1982). *Dal rito al teatro. Il serio e il faceto nell'esperienza rituale*. Bologna: Il Mulino.

Theory of the glass of water

Derrida, J. (1997). *De l'hospitalité*. Paris: Calmann-Lévy.

Illich, I. (1985). *H₂O and the waters of forgetfulness*. Dallas: Dallas Institute.

Theory of the relational tool

Adams, J. S. (1963). *Equity theory*.

Dahrendorf, R. (1957). *Soziale Klassen und Klassenkonflikt in der industriellen Gesellschaft*. Stuttgart: Enke.

Thibaut, J., & Kelley, H. (1959). *The social psychology of groups*. New York: Wiley.

Theory of the existential container

Camus, A. (1942). *Le mythe de Sisyphe*. Paris: Gallimard.

Frankl, V. (1946). *...trotzdem Ja zum Leben sagen*. Wien: Deuticke.

Heidegger, M. (1927). *Sein und Zeit*. Tübingen: Niemeyer.

QD- Quantum Decoder